

## A relation between the solutions of the half-space Dirichlet problems for Helmholtz's equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Laplace's equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

I. N. SNEDDON

*Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow (Scotland)*

(Received September 14, 1973)

### SUMMARY

Multiple Fourier transforms are used to derive the solutions of the half-space Dirichlet problems for Helmholtz's equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and Laplace's equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and to exhibit the relation between the two solutions.

### 1. Introduction

In a recent paper Boudjelkha and Diaz [1] used Hadamard's method of descent to show how to derive the solution of the half-space Dirichlet problem for Helmholtz's equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  from that of the corresponding problem for Laplace's equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The purpose of this brief note is to show that their formulae may be derived easily by the use of the theory of multiple Fourier transforms.

### 2. Formulation of the problems

We shall use the notation  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$  for a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $(\mathbf{x}, z) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z)$  and  $(\mathbf{x}, y, z) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, z)$  for vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  respectively. The Laplacian operators  $\Delta_n$  and  $\Delta_{n+1}$  are defined by the equations

$$\Delta_n = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{n-1}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}, \quad \Delta_{n+1} = \Delta_n + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$

respectively.

We consider the relation between the solution  $v(\mathbf{x}, z)$  of the half-space Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_n - \lambda^2)v(\mathbf{x}, z) &= 0, \quad z > 0 \\ v(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= g(\mathbf{x}) \\ v(\mathbf{x}, z) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\mathbf{x}^2 + z^2| \rightarrow \infty, \quad z > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for the Helmholtz equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the solution  $w(\mathbf{x}, y, z)$  of the half-space Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathbf{x}, y, z) &= 0, \quad z > 0 \\ w(\mathbf{x}, y, 0) &= f(\mathbf{x}, y) \\ w(\mathbf{x}, y, z) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\mathbf{x}^2 + y^2 + z^2| \rightarrow \infty, \quad z > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for the Laplace equation in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ; the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are assumed to be prescribed.

We first of all solve these equations by the use of multiple Fourier transforms using the notation

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}[\phi(\mathbf{x}); \xi] = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{i(\xi \cdot \mathbf{x})\} d\mathbf{x} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi, \eta) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{(n)}[\phi(\mathbf{x}, y); (\xi, \eta)] = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\mathbf{x}, y) \exp\{i(\xi \cdot \mathbf{x} + \eta y)\} d\mathbf{x} dy \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})$  and  $\xi \cdot x$  denotes the inner product  $\xi_1 x_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1} x_{n-1}$ . The inverses  $\mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{(n)}^*$  of the operators  $\mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{(n)}$  are given respectively by

$$\phi(x) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}^*[\bar{\phi}(\xi); x] = \mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}[\bar{\phi}(\xi); -x] \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\phi(x, y) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{(n)}^*[\bar{\phi}(\xi, \eta); (x, y)] = \mathcal{F}_{(n)}[\bar{\phi}(\xi, \eta); -(x, y)]. \tag{2.6}$$

### 3. Solution of the Dirichlet problem for Laplace's equation

To find the solution of the Dirichlet problem (2.1) in the half-space  $z > 0$  we operate on both sides of each of the equations (2.1) by  $\mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}$  and make use of the result

$$\mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}[\Delta_n v(x, z); \xi] = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \xi^2\right) \hat{v}(\xi, z)$$

where  $\xi^2 = \xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_{n-1}^2$  and

$$\hat{v}(\xi, z) = \mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}[v(x, z); \xi]$$

(see e.g. p. 78 of [2]) we find that they are equivalent to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \xi^2 - \lambda^2\right] \hat{v}(\xi, z) &= 0 \\ \hat{v}(\xi, 0) &= \hat{g}(\xi) \\ \hat{v}(\xi, z) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{g}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}[g(x); \xi].$$

These have solution

$$\hat{v}(\xi, z) = \hat{g}(\xi) \exp\{-(\xi^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z\} \tag{3.1}$$

where the positive square root is taken. Using the convolution theorem for multiple Fourier transforms, (p. 79 of [2]), we can write this result in the form

$$v(x, z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g(s) L(x-s, z) ds \tag{3.2}$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and the kernel  $L(x, z)$  is defined by the equation

$$L(x, z) = \mathcal{F}_{(n-1)}^*[\exp\{-(\xi^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z\}; x]. \tag{3.3}$$

Using a well-known result in the theory of integral transforms (p. 82 of [2]) we see that we can replace this formula by

$$L(x, z) = |x|^{-\nu} \mathcal{H}_\nu[r^\nu \exp\{-(r^2 + \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z\}; |x|] \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}(n-3)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\nu$  is the operator of the Hankel transform defined by the equation

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu[f(r); \rho] = \int_0^\infty r f(r) J_\nu(\rho r) dr. \tag{3.5}$$

Making use of formula (19) on p. 31, Vol. II of [3] we find that

$$L(x, z) = \sqrt{(2/\pi)} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}n} z (|x|^2 + z^2)^{-n+\frac{3}{2}} K_{\frac{3}{2}n}\{\lambda \sqrt{(|x|^2 + z^2)}\} \tag{3.6}$$

### 4. Solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Helmholtz equation

Similarly, we can show that the Dirichlet problem (2.2) has the solution  $w(x, y, z)$  where  $\hat{w}(\xi, \eta, z) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{(n)}[w(x, y, z); (\xi, \eta)]$  is of the form

$$\hat{w}(\xi, \eta, z) = f(\xi, \eta) \exp\{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z\} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\hat{f}(\xi, \eta) = \mathcal{F}_{(n)}[f(x, y); (\xi, \eta)]. \quad (4.2)$$

In other words

$$w(x, y, z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(s, t) K(x-s, y-t, z) ds dt \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$K(x, y, z) = \mathcal{F}_{(n)}^*[\exp\{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z\}; (x, y)] \quad (4.4)$$

or

$$K(x, y, z) = \rho^{-\nu} \mathcal{H}_{\nu}[r^{\nu} e^{-rz}; \rho] \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}n - 1$  and  $\rho = \sqrt{(|x|^2 + y^2)}$ . Making use of formula (8) on p. 182, Vol. I of [3] we find that

$$K(x, y, z) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}) z (\rho^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}n-1}, \quad \rho^2 = |x|^2 + y^2. \quad (4.6)$$

### 5. The relation between the solutions

We now consider the relation between the solutions derived in §§3 and 4.

If we take

$$f(x, y) = g(x) e^{-i\lambda y} \quad (5.1)$$

in §4, i.e. take

$$\hat{f}(\xi, \eta) = \sqrt{(2\pi)} \delta(\eta - \lambda) \hat{g}(\xi)$$

in equation (4.1) we find that

$$\hat{w}(\xi, \eta, z) = \sqrt{(2\pi)} \hat{g}(\xi) \exp\{-(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z\} \delta(\eta - \lambda).$$

From equation (3.1) we deduce that

$$\hat{w}(\xi, \eta, z) = \sqrt{(2\pi)} \hat{v}(\xi, z) \delta(\eta - \lambda).$$

Applying the operator  $\mathcal{F}_{(n)}^*$  to both sides of this equation we obtain the result

$$w(x, y, z) = e^{-i\lambda y} v(x, z).$$

In other words if  $w(x, y, z)$  is the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n+1} w(x, y, z) &= 0, \quad z > 0 \\ w(x, y, 0) &= g(x) e^{-i\lambda y} \\ w(x, y, z) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x^2 + y^2 + z^2| \rightarrow \infty, \quad z > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

then the solution of the Dirichlet problem (2.1) is

$$v(x, z) = w(x, y, z) e^{-i\lambda y}. \quad (5.3)$$

From this equation and equations (4.3), (5.1) we deduce that the solution of the Dirichlet problem (2.1) may be written in terms of the kernel  $K$  by means of the formula

$$v(x, z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(s) e^{i\lambda(y-t)} K(x-s, y-t, z) ds dt$$

which by a trivial change of variable reduces to

$$v(x, z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(s) e^{i\lambda y} K(x-s, y, z) ds dy. \quad (5.4)$$

Comparing this equation with equation (3.2) we deduce that the kernels  $K$  and  $L$  are related by the formula

$$L(\mathbf{x}, z) = \mathcal{F} [K(\mathbf{x}, y, z); y \rightarrow \lambda]. \quad (5.5)$$

That we recover the formula (3.6) by inserting the form (4.6) for  $K$  in equation (5.5) is verified by formula (7) on p. 11 of Vol. I of [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. T. Boudjelkha and J. B. Diaz, Half space and quarter space Dirichlet problems for the partial differential equation  $Au - \lambda^2 u = 0$ : Part I, *Applicable Analysis* 1 (1971/2), 297–324.
- [2] I. N. Sneddon, *The Use of Integral Transforms*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1972.
- [3] A. Erdelyi, (Editor), *Tables of Integral Transforms*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1954.